arXiv:1202.3543v1 [math.AP] 16 Feb 2012

STRICHARTZ ESTIMATES IN SPHERICAL COORDINATES

YONGGEUN CHO AND SANGHYUK LEE

Abstract. In this paper we study Strichartz estimates for dispersive equations which are defined by radially symmetric pseudo-differential operators, and of which initial data belongs to spaces of Sobolev type defined in spherical coordinates. We obtain the space time estimates on the best possible range including the endpoint cases.

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the Cauchy problem of linear dispersive equations:

$$iu_t - \omega(|\nabla|)u = 0 \ \text{ in } \ \mathbb{R}^{1+n}, \quad u(0) = \varphi \ \text{ in } \ \mathbb{R}^n, \ n \geq 2 \tag{1.1}$$

where $\omega(|\nabla|)$ is the pseudo-differential operator of which multiplier is $\omega(|\xi|)$. Typical examples of ω are $\rho^a (0 < a \neq 1)$, $\sqrt{1+\rho^2}$, $\rho\sqrt{1+\rho^2}$, and $\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1+\rho^2}}$ which describe Schrödinger type equation [22], Klein-Gordon or semirelativistic equation[15], iBq, and imBq (see [10] and references therein).

The solution can formally be given by

$$u(t,x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x\cdot\xi - t\omega(|\xi|))} \widehat{\varphi}(\xi)\, d\xi.$$

Here $\widehat{\varphi}$ is the Fourier transform of φ defined by $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix\cdot\xi}\varphi(x)\,dx$. There have been a lot of works on the space time estimates for the solution u which play important roles in recent studies on nonlinear dispersive equations. (See Cazenave [5], Sogge [27] and Tao [33] and references therein.) Especially, when $\omega(\rho) = \rho^a$, $a \neq 0$, the solution satisfies

$$\|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^p} \leq C\|\varphi\|_{\dot{H}^s} \tag{1.2}$$

with $s = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n+a}{q}$, which is known as Strichartz estimates. These estimates were first established by Strichartz [31] for $q = p$ and were generalized to mixed norm

---

Y. Cho was supported by National Research Foundation of Korea Grant funded by the Korean Government (2011-0005122). S. Lee was supported by NRF grant No. 2011-0001251 (Korea).

$(q \neq p)$ spaces by Ginibre and Velo [16, 17] except the endpoint cases, which were later proven by Keel and Tao [20].

It is well known that the estimate (1.2) is possible only if $n/p + 2/q \le n/2$, $q \ge 2$ when $a > 0$ and $\frac{n-1}{p} + \frac{2}{q} \le \frac{n-1}{2}$, $q \ge 2$ when $a = 1$ as it can be easily seen by Knapp's example. In actual applications of (1.2) to various problems, depending on the problems being considered, the existence of proper (p, q) for which (1.2) holds is crucial. Hence, there have been attempts to extend the range p, q by suitable generalizations [32, 30]. As it was observed in [30, 25], the estimates have wider ranges of admissible p, q when φ is a radial function. It is due to the fact that Knapp's examples are non-radial. However, to make these estimates on the extended range hold for general functions which are no longer radial, additional regularity in angular direction is necessary.

For precise description we now define a function spaces of Sobolev type in spherical coordinates. Let $\Delta_\sigma = \sum_{1\le i<j\le n} \Omega_{i,j}^2$, $\Omega_{i,j} = x_i\partial_j - x_j\partial_i$, be the Laplace-Beltrami operator defined on the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and set $D_\sigma = \sqrt{1-\Delta_\sigma}$. For $|s| < n/2$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $\dot H^s_r H^\alpha_\sigma$ the space

$$\Big\{ f \in \mathcal{S}' : \|f\|_{\dot H^s_r H^\alpha_\sigma} \equiv \| \, |\nabla|^s D^\alpha_\sigma f\|_{L^2} < \infty \Big\}.$$

It should be noted that C_c^∞ is dense in $\dot H^s_r H^\alpha_\sigma$ since $|s| < n/2$. So a natural generalization of (1.2) might be

$$\|u\|_{L^q_t L^p_x} \le C\|\varphi\|_{\dot H^s_r H^\alpha_\sigma}. \tag{1.3}$$

In fact, for the wave equation $(\omega(\rho) = \rho)$ Strebenz [30] obtained almost optimal range of q, r and almost sharp required regularity (see also Section 4.6). In [21] (1.3) was shown for $\omega(\rho) = \rho^a$, $\frac{1}{q} < (n-1)(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}), q \ge 2$ and $\alpha \ge \frac{1}{q}$ by utilizing Rodnianski's argument of [30] and weighted Strichartz estimates (see [11, 14, 7]). Recently, Guo and Wang [18] considered the estimate (1.3) with $\omega(\rho) = \rho^a$ and radially symmetric initial data, and found the optimal range of p, q except some endpoint cases.

In a different direction one may try to extend (1.2) to include more general ω. Let us consider $\omega \in C^\infty(0, \infty)$ which satisfies the following properties:

$$\omega'(\rho) > 0, \text{ and either } \omega''(\rho) > 0 \text{ or } \omega''(\rho) < 0, \tag{i}$$

$$|\omega^{(k)}(\rho_1)| \sim |\omega^{(k)}(\rho_2)| \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < \rho_1 < \rho_2 < 2\rho_1, \tag{ii}$$

$$\rho|\omega^{(k+1)}(\rho)| \lesssim |\omega^{(k)}(\rho)|. \tag{iii}$$

We also define a pseudo-differential operator $\mathcal{D}_\omega^{s_1,s_2}$ by setting

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{D}_\omega^{s_1,s_2} f)(\xi) = \left(\frac{\omega'(|\xi|)}{|\xi|}\right)^{s_1} |\omega''(|\xi|)|^{s_2} \widehat{f}(\xi).$$

Here $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the Fourier transform. In [12] (also see [19] for earlier result), the authors proved the following: If ω satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) for $k = 1, 2$, and (iii) for $1 \le k \le [\frac{n}{2}] + 1$ [1], then

$$\|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^p} \lesssim \|\mathcal{D}_\omega^{s_1,s_2} \varphi\|_{\dot{H}^s} \tag{1.4}$$

holds for $2 \le p, q \le \infty$, $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{n}{p} \le \frac{n}{2}$ and $(n, p, q) \ne (2, \infty, 0)$ with

$$s_1 = (\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2p}) - \frac{1}{q},\ s_2 = \frac{1}{2p} - \frac{1}{4},\ s = n(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}) - \frac{2}{q}. \tag{1.5}$$

It is obvious that the range and the exponents are sharp ([12]).

In this note we try to unify the estimates (1.2) and (1.3) in a single framework. More precisely, we consider the estimates

$$\|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^p} \lesssim \|\mathcal{D}_\omega^{s_1,s_2} \varphi\|_{\dot{H}_r^s H_\sigma^\alpha} \tag{1.6}$$

which have a wider range p, q of boundedness than (1.4). Allowing some regularity loss in spherical variables, we want to find the best possible range of p, q for (1.6). In fact, using a Knapp's example which is adapted to radial function one can see that (1.6) is possible only if

$$\frac{1}{q} \le \frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}). \tag{1.7}$$

(See Section 4.1.) Since we already have the usual Strchartz estimates (1.4) on the range $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{n}{p} \le \frac{n}{2}$, we are mainly interested in the estimates for (p, q) which is contained in the region $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{n}{p} > \frac{n}{2}$.

The following is our first result which establishes (1.6) in the best possible range of p, q except an endpoint.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \ge 2, 2 \le p, q \le \infty$ and s_1, s_2, s given by (1.5). Suppose that $\omega \in C^\infty(0, \infty)$ satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) for $k = 1, 2$, and (iii) for $1 \le k \le \max(4, [\frac{n}{2}] + 1)$. If $\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}) \le \frac{1}{q} \le \frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$, $(n, p, q) \ne (2, \infty, 2)$, and $(p, q) \ne (\frac{2(2n-1)}{2n-3}, 2)$, the solution u to (1.1) satisfies (1.6) for $\alpha > \frac{5n-1}{5n-5}(\frac{n}{p} + \frac{2}{q} - \frac{n}{2})$.*

---

[1] In [12] the condition (iii) was assumed for $k \ge 1$ to get (1.4) but (iii) for $1 \le k \le [\frac{n}{2}] + 1$ is enough as it is obvious from the proof in [12].

Theorem 1.1 generalizes Shao's results in [25] where $\omega(\rho)=\rho^2$ and radial data were considered. In [18], some estimates for (p,q) on the sharp line $\frac{1}{q}=\frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})$ were obtained when $p\le q$, $\omega(\rho)=\rho^a$ and the initial datum φ is radial. But our results include all the estimates on the sharp line except for $(p,q)\ne(\frac{2(2n-1)}{2n-3},2)$, which is left open and seems to be beyond the method of this paper. But it is possible to obtain the following weak type inequality when the initial datum is radial:

$$\|u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2(2n-1)}{2n-3},\infty}} \lesssim \|\mathcal{D}_\omega^{s_1,s_2}\varphi\|_{\dot H^s} \tag{1.8}$$

where s_1, s_2, and s are given by (1.5) with $(p,q)=(\frac{2(2n-1)}{2n-3},2)$. We provide a brief proof of this in Section 4.4.

It should be noted that if ω satisfies (iv) below instead of (iii), then $\mathcal{D}_\omega^{s_1,s_2}$ simplifies so that $\mathcal{D}_\omega^{s_1,s_2}\sim|\omega''(|\nabla|)|^{-\frac{1}{q}}$. Although Theorem 1.1 gives a sharp estimate in (q,p) pairs, there is no reason to believe that the angular regularity is sharp. Substantial improvement should be possible by obtaining refined estimates for Bessel function.

When ω satisfies

$$\rho|\omega''(\rho)|\sim|\omega'(\rho)| \ \text{ for } \rho>0, \tag{iv}$$

the angular regularity can be improved further. (See Section 3.3). The following is our second result which also improves the angular regularity result in [21].

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n\ge 2, 2\le p,q\le\infty$ and s_1,s_2,s given by* (1.5). *Suppose that $\omega\in C^\infty(0,\infty)$ satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) for $k=1,2$, (iii) for $1\le k\le \max(4,[\frac{n}{2}]+1)$, and (iv). If $\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})\le\frac{1}{q}\le\frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})$, $(n,p,q)\ne(2,\infty,2)$, and $(p,q)\ne(\frac{2(2n-1)}{2n-3},2)$, the solution u to* (1.1) *satisfies* (1.6) *for $\alpha>\frac{1}{2}\frac{2n-1}{n-1}(\frac{n}{p}+\frac{2}{q}-\frac{n}{2})$.*

Compared to previous works, our approach here is simper and more systematic so that we can provide a simplified proof of the result in [30] (see Section 4.6). By spherical harmonic expansion (1.6) the matters basically reduce to one dimensional situation but it involves with a family operators which are given by Bessel functions of different orders. To get the desired estimate, the growth of bounds depending on the orders needs to be controlled in a uniform way. It will be done by comparing spatial scale and the orders of Bessel functions. Our novelty here is the use of a temporal localization (see Lemma 3.2) which is available only after frequency and spatial localizations. This enables us to reduce the estimate in time to that of the same scale in space so that we suffices to work on local estimates, and it also plays a role in obtaining precise estimates for general ω. (See Section 3.)

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we consider the asymptotic behavior of Bessel function. In Section 3 we obtain various preliminary estimates via space-frequency-time localization which are expected to be useful for related problems and in Section 4 the proofs of Theorems (1.1), (1.2) are given.

If not specified, $A \lesssim B$, $A \sim B$ mean $A \le CB$, $C^{-1}A \le B \le CB$, respectively, for some generic constant C.

2. Estimates for Bessel functions

For the proofs of theorems we need estimates for Bessel functions J_ν, which depend on ν. When ν is bounded, estimates are easy to obtain. We start by recalling some basic properties of Bessel functions.

Let $\nu_0 > 1$ be a fixed number. If $0 \le \nu \le \nu_0$, then

$$|J_\nu(r)| \lesssim 1, \quad \text{if } \ r \lesssim 1, \tag{2.1}$$

$$J_\nu(r) = r^{-\frac{1}{2}}(b_+ e^{ir} + b_- e^{-ir}) + \Psi(r), \quad \text{if } \ r \gg 1, \tag{2.2}$$

where $|\Psi(r)| \lesssim r^{-\frac{3}{2}}$. For instance see [28, 36]. If $\nu > \nu_0$, then we have

$$|J_\nu(r)| \lesssim \exp(-C\nu), \quad \text{if } \ r \ll \nu. \tag{2.3}$$

It is easy to show by making use of the Poisson representation ([28, 36])

$$J_\nu(r) = \frac{(\frac{r}{2})^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_{-1}^{1} e^{irs}(1-s^2)^{\nu - \frac{1}{2}} ds \tag{2.4}$$

and Stirling's formula ([23]) $\Gamma(t) \sim \sqrt{2\pi} t^{t-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-t}$ for large t.

For simplicity we denote $z + \overline{z}$ by

$$z + \mathcal{C}.\mathcal{C}$$

so that $\mathcal{C}.\mathcal{C}$ stands for the complex conjugate of terms appearing before $+\mathcal{C}.\mathcal{C}$. We now make use of the following representation of Bessel function (see [1] or Lemma 3 of [2]);

$$J_\nu(r) = 2(r^2 - \nu^2)^{-1/4}\left(c_\nu e^{i\theta(r)} + \mathcal{C}.\mathcal{C}\right) + h_\nu(r), \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$\theta(r) = r\left[\left(1 - \frac{\nu^2}{r^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{\nu}{r}\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \cos^{-1}\frac{\nu}{r}\right)\right], \quad |h_\nu(r)| \lesssim r^{-1}.$$

It can be obtained by the stationary phase method and Schläfli's integral representation (see p.176, [36]) which is given by

$$J_\nu(r) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\pi e^{i(r\sin\theta - \nu\theta)}\,d\theta - \frac{\sin(\nu\pi)}{\pi}\int_0^\infty e^{-\nu\tau - r\sinh\tau}\,d\tau. \tag{2.6}$$

The following lemma gives asymptotic bounds for J_ν when ν is large.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\nu \ge \frac{1}{2}$. Then the following holds:*

$$|J_\nu(r)| \lesssim r^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{if } r \ge 2\nu, \tag{2.7}$$

$$J_\nu(r) = (c_\nu r^{-1/2} + \widetilde{c_\nu}\,\nu^2 r^{-\frac{3}{2}})e^{ir} + \mathcal{C}.\mathcal{C} + \Psi_\nu(r), \quad \text{if } r \ge 4\nu^{\frac{8}{5}}, \tag{2.8}$$

where $c_\nu = \frac{e^{-i(\frac{\pi}{4}+\frac{\nu\pi}{2})}}{2\sqrt{2\pi}}$, $\widetilde{c_\nu} = -2ic_\nu$, *and* $|\Psi_\nu(r)| \lesssim r^{-1}$.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. We rewrite $e^{i\theta(r)}$ as

$$e^{i\theta(r)} = e^{ir}(1 + i(\theta(r) - r)) + e^{ir}\left(e^{\theta(r)-r} - 1 - i(\theta(r) - r)\right).$$

Substituting this into (2.5), we obtain

$$J_\nu(r) = r^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(1 - \frac{\nu^2}{r^2}\right)^{-1/4}\left(c_\nu e^{ir}(1 + i(\theta(r) - r)) + \mathcal{C}.\mathcal{C}\right) + \widetilde{h_\nu}(r),$$

where

$$\widetilde{h_\nu}(r) = h_\nu(r) + \left(c_\nu \frac{e^{ir}(e^{i\theta(r)-r}) - 1 - i(\theta(r) - r)}{(r^2 - \nu^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}} + \mathcal{C}.\mathcal{C}\right).$$

Let $\theta_1(r) = \left(1 - \frac{\nu^2}{r^2}\right)^{-1/4} - 1$ and $\theta_2(r) = i(\frac{3\nu^2}{2r} + \theta(r) - r)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} J_\nu(r) &= r^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1 + \theta_1(r))\left(c_\nu e^{ir}\Big(1 - i\frac{2\nu^2}{r} + \theta_2(r)\Big) + \mathcal{C}.\mathcal{C}\right) + \widetilde{h_\nu}(r) \\ &= r^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(c_\nu e^{ir}\Big(1 - i\frac{2\nu^2}{r}\Big) + \mathcal{C}.\mathcal{C}\right) + \Psi_\nu(r), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\nu(r) = \widetilde{h_\nu}(r) &+ r^{-1/2}\theta_1(r)\Big(c_\nu e^{ir}\left(1 - i\frac{3\nu^2}{2r} + \theta_2(r)\right) + \mathcal{C}.\mathcal{C}\Big) \\ &+ r^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1 + \theta_1(r))\left(c_\nu e^{ir}\theta_2(r) + \mathcal{C}.\mathcal{C}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Taylor's theorem gives that $|\widetilde{h_\nu}(r)| \lesssim r^{-1}$, $|\theta_1(r)| \lesssim \frac{\nu^2}{r^2}$ and $|\theta_2(r)| \lesssim \frac{\nu^4}{r^3}$. Hence $|\Psi_\nu(r)| \lesssim r^{-1}$ for $r \ge 4\nu^{\frac{8}{5}}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1. □

3. Estimates via space-frequency localization

In this section we obtain estimates via localization on both space and frequency sides. Let $0 < \lambda_0 \lesssim 1$ and $\varpi \in C(1/2, 2) \cap C^4(1/2, 2)$ satisfy that

$$|\varpi'(\rho)| \sim 1, \;\; \lambda_0 \le |\varpi''(\rho)| \lesssim 1, \;\; |\varpi^{(3)}(\rho)| + |\varpi^{(4)}(\rho)| \lesssim 1 \tag{3.1}$$

if $1/2 \le \rho \le 2$. For $R > 0$, let us set $\chi_R = \chi_{\{x: R \le |x| < 2R\}}$ and define

$$\mathcal{T}_R^\nu h(t, r) = \chi_R(r) r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \int e^{-it\varpi(\rho)} J_\nu(r\rho)\beta(\rho)h(\rho)d\rho, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\beta \in C_c^\infty(1/2, 2)$. In what follows β may be different at each occurrence but we keep the same notation as long as it is contained uniformly in $C_c^\infty(1/2, 2)$.

We denote by $\mathfrak{L}_r^p$ the space $L^p(r^{n-1}dr)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $R \gtrsim 1$ and $\mathcal{T}_R^\nu$ be defined by (3.2). If $2 \le p, q \le \infty$, $\nu \ge 0$, and $2/q \ge 1/2 - 1/p$, then there is a constant $C = C(n, p, q) > 0$, independent of λ_0, ν, R, such that*

$$\|\mathcal{T}_R^\nu h\|_{L_t^q \mathfrak{L}_r^p} \le C \lambda_0^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})} (1+\nu)^{\frac{4}{5}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})} R^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})} \|h\|_2. \tag{3.3}$$

The exponent on R is sharp as it can be shown by the example for the necessary condition (see Section 4.1).

For the proof, we need to show the cases $(p, q) = (2, \infty)$, $(2, 2)$, $(\infty, 2)$, and $(\infty, 4)$ since the other estimates follow from interpolation. The first two cases are straightforward from the square function estimates for Bessel functions such that

$$\int_{r \sim R} |J_\nu(r)|^2 \, dr \lesssim 1 \tag{3.4}$$

for all $\nu \ge 0$ and $R > 0$. This can be shown by using (2.1), (2.2) when $0 \le \nu \le \nu_0$, $\int_0^\infty |J_\nu(r)|^2 dr/r = 1/(2\nu)$ when $\nu \ge \nu_0$ and $R \lesssim \nu$ (see p. 405 of [36]), and (2.7) when $R \gg \nu > \nu_0$.

Now, by Schwarz inequality and (3.4) we have

$$|\mathcal{T}_R^\nu h(t, r)| \lesssim R^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \sup_{r \sim R} \left(\int_{\rho \sim 1} |J_\nu(r\rho)|^2 \, d\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h\|_2 \lesssim R^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \|h\|_2. \tag{3.5}$$

Hence, this shows (3.3) for $(p, q) = (2, \infty)$. Similarly, since $|\varpi'(\rho)| \sim 1$, by the change of variables $\varpi(\rho) \mapsto \rho$, Plancherel's theorem in t and Schwarz's inequality we have

$$\|\mathcal{T}_R^\nu h\|_{L_t^2 \mathfrak{L}_r^2}^2 \lesssim \int_{\rho \sim 1} |h(\varpi^{-1}(\rho))|^2 \int_{r \sim R} |J_\nu(r\rho)|^2 r \, dr \, d\rho. \tag{3.6}$$

By (3.4) and reversing change of variables we obtain (3.3) for $(p, q) = (2, 2)$.

It remains to show (3.3) for $(\infty, 2)$, and $(\infty, 4)$. For this purpose we use a localization property of $\mathcal{T}_R^\nu$ in t, which is possible because $\operatorname{supp}\beta \subset (1/2, 2)$.

3.1. **Temporal localization.** Let $\widetilde{\chi}_R$ be a measurable function supported $[0, 2R]$ with $\|\widetilde{\chi}_R\|_\infty \le 1$. Let $\widetilde{\omega} \in C^4(1/2, 2)$ and let us define

$$\mathcal{R}_R^\nu g(t,r) = \widetilde{\chi}_R(r) \int e^{-it\widetilde{\omega}(\rho)} J_\nu(r\rho)\beta(\rho)g(\rho)d\rho. \tag{3.7}$$

Making use of the localization of scale R in r and the fact that $\operatorname{supp}\beta \subset (1/2, 2)$, it is possible to localize the estimate for $\mathcal{R}_R^\nu$ in t at the same scale R. It is crucial for obtaining sharp estimates for $\mathcal{T}_R^\nu$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $R \gtrsim 1$, $\nu = \nu(k) = \frac{n-2+2k}{2}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$ and let I be an interval of length R. Suppose that $|\widetilde{\omega}'(\rho)| \sim 1$, $|\widetilde{\omega}^{(k)}(\rho)| \lesssim 1, k = 2, 3, 4,$ on the support of β. And suppose that*

$$\|\chi_I(t)\mathcal{R}_R^\nu g\|_{L_t^q\mathfrak{L}_r^p} \le BR^b\,\|g\|_2 \tag{3.8}$$

for $2 \le p, q \le \infty$, $B \gtrsim 1$, and $b \ge \frac{n}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - 1$. Then $\|\mathcal{R}_R^\nu g\|_{L_t^q\mathfrak{L}_r^p} \le CBR^b\,\|g\|_2$.

Note that $\mathcal{T}_R^\nu h(r,t) = r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\mathcal{R}_R^\nu h(r,t)$ with a proper $\widetilde{\chi}_R$ if $\varpi = \widetilde{\omega}$. From Section 4.1 we see that a lower bound for $\mathcal{T}_R^\nu : L^2 \to L_t^qL_x^p$ is $CR^{\frac{1}{q}+\frac{2n-1}{2p}-\frac{3}{4}}$ which is bigger than or equal to $CR^{\frac{n}{p}+\frac{1}{q}-1}$ if $p \ge 2$ and R is large enough. So we can use this localization for $\mathcal{T}_R^\nu$ without any loss in bound.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. It should be noted that $\nu = 0$ or $\nu \ge 1/2$ from the definition of $\nu(k)$. By Plancherel's theorem it suffices to show that

$$\|\chi_I(t)\mathcal{R}_R^\nu \widehat{g}\|_{L_t^q\mathfrak{L}_r^p} \le BR^b\,\|g\|_2 \tag{3.9}$$

for $B \gtrsim 1$, $b \ge \frac{n}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - 1$ implies

$$\|\mathcal{R}_R^\nu \widehat{g}\|_{L_t^q\mathfrak{L}_r^p} \le CBR^b\,\|g\|_2.$$

Using the integral representation (2.6) of Bessel function we write

$$\mathcal{R}_R^\nu \widehat{g} = \mathcal{R}_1 g + \mathcal{R}_2 g, \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_1 g = \frac{\widetilde{\chi}_R(r)}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \int e^{-it\widetilde{\omega}(\rho)} e^{i(r\rho\sin\theta - \nu\theta)}\,\beta(\rho)\widehat{g}(\rho)\,d\rho\,d\theta,$$

$$\mathcal{R}_2 g = -\frac{\sin(\nu\pi)}{\pi}\widetilde{\chi}_R(r) \int_0^\infty \int e^{-it\widetilde{\omega}(\rho)} e^{-\nu\tau - r\rho\sinh\tau}\,\beta(\rho)\widehat{g}(\rho)\,d\rho\,d\tau.$$

Note that if $\nu = 0$, then $\mathcal{R}_2 = 0$.

If $\nu \geq 1/2$, then we claim that for $2 \leq p, q \leq \infty$

$$\|\mathcal{R}_2 g\|_{L^q_t \mathfrak{L}^p_r} \leq C R^{\frac{n}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - 1} \|g\|_2. \tag{3.11}$$

In view of interpolation, it is sufficient to consider the cases $(p, q) = (2, 2), (2, \infty), (\infty, 2)$, and (∞, ∞). By Hölder's inequality we only need to show (3.11) for $(p, q) = (\infty, 2), (\infty, \infty)$. Using the fundamental theorem of calculus and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{0 \leq r \leq 2R} |\mathcal{R}_2 g(t, r)| &\leq |\mathcal{R}_2 h(t, 3R/2)| + \int_R^{2R} |\partial_r \mathcal{R}_2 g(t, r)| dr \\ &\leq |\mathcal{R}_2 h(t, 3R/2)| + C R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_r \mathcal{R}_2 g(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(0,2R)}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $|\widetilde{\omega}'(\rho)| \sim 1$, by the change of variables $\widetilde{\omega}(\rho) \mapsto \rho$ and Plancherel's theorem

$$\|\mathcal{R}_2 g(t, 3R/2)\|_{L^2_t} \leq C \int_0^\infty e^{-\nu\tau} e^{-\frac{3}{2} R \sinh\tau} d\tau \| g\|_2 \leq \frac{C}{\nu + R} \| g\|_2.$$

To handle $\|\partial_r \mathcal{R}_2 g(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(R,2R)}$, observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_r \mathcal{R}_2 g(t, r) = \frac{\sin(\nu\pi)}{\pi} \widetilde{\chi}_R(r) \int e^{-it\rho} \Big(\int_0^\infty e^{-\nu\tau - r\phi(\rho)\sinh\tau} \sinh\tau \, d\tau \Big) \\ \times \phi(\rho) \beta(\phi(\rho)) \widehat{g}(\phi(\rho)) \phi'(\rho) \, d\rho,\end{aligned}$$

where $\phi = \widetilde{\omega}^{-1}$. Since $\phi'(\rho) \sim 1$, by Plancherel's theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_r \mathcal{R}_2 g\|_{L^2_t L^2_{dr}(R,2R)} &\leq C \int_0^\infty e^{-\nu\tau} \|e^{-r\sinh\tau}\|_{L^2_{dr}(0,2R)} \sinh\tau \, d\tau \| g\|_2 \\ &\leq C \int_0^\infty e^{-\nu\tau} e^{-R\sinh\tau} (\sinh\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}} \, d\tau \| g\|_2 \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\nu - 1/2 + R} \| g\|_2.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\nu \geq 1/2$, we get the desired estimate (3.11) for $(p, q) = (2, \infty)$. The estimate for $(p, q) = (\infty, \infty)$ is straightforward because

$$|\mathcal{R}_2 g| \leq C \int_0^\infty e^{-\nu\tau - \frac{R}{2}\sinh\tau} d\tau \|g\|_2.$$

By (3.10), (3.11), and (3.9) it is now enough to show that $\|\chi_I(t) \mathcal{R}_1 g\|_{L^q_t \mathfrak{L}^p_r} \leq B R^b \|g\|_2$ implies $\|\mathcal{R}_1 g\|_{L^q_t \mathfrak{L}^p_r} \leq C B R^b \|g\|_2$. Since $|\widetilde{\omega}'(\rho)| \sim 1$, by the change of variables $\rho \to \phi(\rho) = \widetilde{\omega}^{-1}(\rho)$ and Plancherel's theorem, we may replace $\mathcal{R}_1$ by $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}_1}$ which is given by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}_1} g = \chi_R(r) \int_0^\pi \int e^{-it\rho} e^{i(r\phi(\rho)\sin\theta - \nu\theta)} \, \widetilde{\beta}(\rho) \widehat{g}(\rho) \, d\rho \, d\theta$$

for some $\widetilde{\beta} \in C_c^\infty(1/2, 2)$. Matters are reduced to showing that

$$\|\chi_I(t)\widetilde{\mathcal{R}_1} g\|_{L_t^q \mathfrak{L}_r^p} \le B R^b \|g\|_2 \tag{3.12}$$

for $b \ge -1$ implies

$$\|\widetilde{\mathcal{R}_1} g\|_{L_t^q \mathfrak{L}_r^p} \le C B R^b \|g\|_2. \tag{3.13}$$

We note that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}_1} g(t,r) = \widetilde{\chi}_R(r)(K_r * g)(t)$$

with

$$K_r(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\pi \int e^{-i(t\rho - r\phi(\rho)\sin\theta)} \widetilde{\beta}(\rho)d\rho \; e^{-i\nu\theta} d\theta.$$

Since $0 \le r \le 2R$ and $|\frac{d}{d\rho}(t\widetilde{\omega}(\rho) - r\rho\sin\theta)| \ge C|t|$ for some $C > 0$ if $|t| \ge MR$ for some large $M > 0$. From the condition on $\widetilde{\omega}$ and integration by parts (three times) it follows that for $0 \le a \le 3$,

$$|K_r(t)| \le C(1+|t|)^{-3} \le CR^{-a}(1+|t|)^{-3+a} \tag{3.14}$$

if $r \sim R$ and $|t| \ge MR$. Now the argument is rather standard. Indeed, let $\{I\}$ be a collection of disjoint intervals with sidelength $\sim R$ which partition $\mathbb{R}$. Let us denote by $\widetilde{I}$ the interval $\{t : \text{ dist } (t, I) \le 5MR\}$. Breaking $g = \chi_{\widetilde{I}} g + \chi_{\widetilde{I}^c} g$, by (3.14) we see that for $t \in I$

$$|\widetilde{\mathcal{R}_1} g(t,r)| \le |\widetilde{\mathcal{R}_1}(\chi_{\widetilde{I}}\, g)(t,r)| + CR^{-a}\mathcal{E} * |g|(t),$$

where $\mathcal{E}(t) = (1+|t|)^{-3+a}$. Thus, when $q \ne \infty$, taking $a = 1$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\mathcal{R}_1 g(t,\cdot)\|_{\mathfrak{L}_r^p}^q dt &\le \sum_I \int_I \|\mathcal{R}_1 g(t,\cdot)\|_{\mathfrak{L}_r^p}^q \, dt \\
&\le C \sum_I \int_I \|\mathcal{R}_1(\chi_{\widetilde{I}}\, g)\|_{\mathfrak{L}_r^p}^q \, dt + CR^{-q}\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathcal{E} * |g|)^q(t)\, dt \\
&\le CB^q R^{qb} \sum_I \|\chi_{\widetilde{I}}\, g\|_2^q + CR^{-q}\|g\|_2^q \\
&\le CB^q R^{qb} \|g\|_2^q.
\end{aligned}$$

For the third inequality we use the hypothesis (3.12) and the fact that $\mathcal{E} \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$ and for the Fourth inequality we use the fact that $b \ge \frac{n}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - 1$. Hence summation along I gives the desired estimate (3.13). When $q = \infty$, the argument is even simpler. We omit the detail. $\square$

Now let us set

$$\mathcal{R}_\Omega g(t,r) = \widetilde{\chi}_R(r)\int e^{-it\widetilde{\omega}(\rho)}\Omega(r\rho)\beta(\rho)g(\rho)d\rho. \tag{3.15}$$

From the proof of Lemma 3.2 it is obvious that the same statement remains valid even if we replace R_R^ν by $\mathcal{R}_{\Psi_\nu}g$ provided that $R \ge 4\nu^{\frac85}$. Here $\Psi_\nu(r) = J_\nu(r) - [(c_\nu r^{-1/2} + \widetilde{c_\nu}\,\nu^2\, r^{-\frac32})e^{ir} + \mathcal{C}.\mathcal{C}]$ which is given in (2.8). In fact, since we already have (3.11), one needs to check that

$$\begin{aligned} K_r(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int e^{-it\rho}\Big(\int_0^\pi & e^{i(r\phi(\rho)\sin\theta-\nu\theta)}d\theta \\ &- \Big[\Big(\frac{c_\nu}{(\phi(\rho)r)^{\frac12}} + \frac{\widetilde{c_\nu}\nu^2}{(\phi(\rho)r)^{\frac32}}\Big)e^{ir\phi(\rho)} + \mathcal{C}.\mathcal{C}\Big]\Big)\beta(\rho)d\rho \end{aligned}$$

satisfies (3.14) if $r\sim R$, $|t|\ge MR$. It is easy to see using the fact that $R\ge 4\nu^{\frac85}$. One can handle each term separately. Then the rest of the argument is straightforward. The similar implication is also valid for $\mathcal{R}_\Omega$ with $\Omega = \rho^{-\frac12}e^{\pm i\rho}$, $\nu^2\rho^{-\frac32}e^{\pm i\rho}$. For future use we summarize it in the following.

Lemma 3.3. *Let* $R \gtrsim 1$, ν, I *and* $\widetilde{\omega}$ *be the same as in Lemma 3.2 and let* $\mathcal{R}_\Omega$ *be defined by* (3.15). *Suppose that* $R\ge 4\nu^{\frac85}$ *and* (3.8) *holds for* $B\gtrsim 1$ *and* $2\le p,q\le\infty$. *If* $\Omega = \rho^{-\frac12}e^{\pm i\rho}$, $\nu^2\rho^{-\frac32}e^{\pm i\rho}$, Ψ_ν, *then* $\|\mathcal{R}_\Omega\, g\|_{L^q_t\mathfrak{L}^p_r} \le CBR^b\,\|g\|_2$.

We now return to the proof of Proposition 3.1.

3.2. **Proof of** (3.3) **for** $(p,q) = (\infty,2)$, $(\infty,4)$**.** We show that for $q = 2$, 4,

$$\|\mathcal{T}_R^\nu h\|_{L^q_t\mathfrak{L}^\infty_r} \le C\lambda_0^{-\frac14}(1+\nu)^{\frac25}R^{\frac1q-\frac{2n-1}{4}}\|h\|_2. \tag{3.16}$$

The estimate for $q=2$ follows from the case $q=4$. In fact, by Lemma 3.2 it is sufficient to show (3.16) for $q=2$ when I is an interval of side length $\sim R$ but this follows from Hölder's inequality and the estimate (3.16) with $q=4$. Hence we are reduced to showing that

$$\|\mathcal{T}_R^\nu h\|_{L^4_t\mathfrak{L}^\infty_r} \le C\lambda_0^{-\frac14}(1+\nu)^{\frac25}R^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\|h\|_2. \tag{3.17}$$

For this we consider the following three cases, separately:

$$(1): R\ll\nu,\quad (2): \nu\lesssim R\lesssim \nu^{\frac85},\quad (3): \nu^{\frac85}\ll R. \tag{3.18}$$

Case (1). From (2.3) we have $\|\mathcal{T}_R^\nu h\|_{L^\infty_t\mathfrak{L}^\infty_r} \lesssim e^{-C\nu}R^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\|h\|_2$. By Lemma 3.2 we get

$$\|\mathcal{T}_R^\nu h\|_{L^4_t\mathfrak{L}^\infty_r} \lesssim e^{-C\nu}R^{\frac14-\frac{n-2}{2}}\|h\|_2 \lesssim e^{-C\nu}\nu^{\frac34}R^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\|h\|_2 \lesssim R^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\|h\|_2,$$

which is acceptable.

Case (2). By (3.5) we have $\|\mathcal{T}_R^\nu h\|_{L^\infty_t \mathfrak{L}^\infty_r} \lesssim R^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\|h\|_2$. Then, by Lemma 3.2 and Hölder's inequality $\|\mathcal{T}_R^\nu h\|_{L^4_t \mathfrak{L}^\infty_r} \le CR^{\frac14-\frac{n-1}{2}}\|h\|_2$. So, if $R \sim \nu$, then $\|\mathcal{T}_R^\nu h\|_{L^4_t \mathfrak{L}^\infty_r} \lesssim \nu^{\frac14}R^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\|h\|_2$. If $\nu \ll R \lesssim \nu^{\frac85}$, then $\|\mathcal{T}_R^\nu h\|_{L^4_t \mathfrak{L}^\infty_r} \lesssim \nu^{\frac25}R^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\|h\|_2$. So we have (3.17).

Case (3). For simplicity let us set

$$\mathcal{T}_\Omega h(t,r) = r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \int e^{-it\varpi(\rho)}\Omega(r\rho)\beta(\rho)h(\rho)d\rho, \tag{3.19}$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_{\Omega,R}h(t,r) = \chi_R(r)\mathcal{T}_\Omega h(t,r).$$

Since $r \sim R$, using (2.8), we need to consider $\mathcal{R}_\Omega$ with

$$\Omega(\rho) = \rho^{-\frac12}e^{\pm i\rho},\ \nu^2\rho^{-\frac32}e^{\pm i\rho},\ \Psi_\nu(\rho) = O(1/\rho)$$

and for (3.17) it is sufficient to show that

$$\|\chi_I(t)\mathcal{T}_{\Omega,R}h\|_{L^4_t\mathfrak{L}^\infty_r} \le C\lambda_0^{-\frac14}(1+\nu)^{\frac25}R^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\|h\|_2.$$

For $\Omega(\rho) = O(1/\rho)$, by Schwarz's inequality $|\mathcal{T}_{\Omega,R}h(t,r)| \le CR^{-\frac n2}\|h\|_2$. So we get the required bound from Hölder's inequality. Hence we only need to consider the cases $\Omega(\rho) = \rho^{-\frac12}e^{\pm i\rho}$, $\nu^2\rho^{-\frac32}e^{\pm i\rho}$. These two cases can be handled similarly. In fact, since $\nu^{\frac85} \ll R$, we get the desired bound (3.17) [2] if we show that

$$\|\mathcal{T}h\|_{L^4_t\mathfrak{L}^\infty_r} \le C\lambda_0^{-\frac14}\|h\|_2,$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}h(r,t) = \widetilde{\chi}_R(r)\int e^{i(-t\varpi(\rho)\pm r\rho)}\beta(\rho)h(\rho)d\rho.$$

By duality it is equivalent to $\|\mathcal{T}^*H\|_{L^2} \le C\lambda_0^{-\frac14}\|H\|_{L^{\frac43}_t\mathfrak{L}^1_r}$, where $\mathcal{T}^*$ is the adjoint operator of $\mathcal{T}$. It again follows from

$$\|\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}^*H\|_{L^4_t\mathfrak{L}^\infty_r} \le C\lambda_0^{-\frac12}\|H\|_{L^{\frac43}_t\mathfrak{L}^1_r}.$$

Now note that

$$\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}^*H(t,r) = \iint \mathcal{K}(t-s,r,r')[r'^{(n-1)}H(s,r')]dsdr',$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}(t,r) = \widetilde{\chi}_R(r)\widetilde{\chi}_R(r')\int e^{i(-t\varpi(\rho)\pm(r-r')\rho)}\beta^2(\rho)d\rho.$$

---

[2] The bound $\nu^{\frac85}$ is actually decided by the term $\nu^2\rho^{-\frac32}e^{\pm i\rho}$.

Since $\lambda_0 \leq |\varpi''(r)| \lesssim 1$, by van der Corput (see for instance page 334 of [28]), it follows that $|\mathcal{K}(t,r)| \leq C\lambda_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}|t|^{-1/2}$. So we get

$$\|\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}^*H\|_{L_t^4\mathfrak{L}_r^\infty} \leq C\lambda_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left\| \int |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|H(\cdot,s)\|_{\mathfrak{L}_r^1}ds\right\|_{L_t^4}.$$

Then by Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality we get the desired bound. This completes the proof of (3.3), and hence Proposition (3.1). $\square$

Remark 1. From the above proof (*Case* (3)) it is obvious that if $\Omega = \rho^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{\pm i\rho}$, $\Psi_\nu(\rho) = O(1/\rho)$, then for $R \gtrsim 1$, $2 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $2/q \geq 1/2 - 1/p$,

$$\|\mathcal{T}_{\Omega,R}h\|_{L_t^q\mathfrak{L}_r^p} \lesssim \lambda_0^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})}R^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})}\|h\|_2 \tag{3.20}$$

and if $\Omega = \nu^2\rho^{-\frac{3}{2}}e^{\pm i\rho}$, for $2 \leq q \leq 4$

$$\|\mathcal{T}_{\Omega,R}h\|_{L_t^q\mathfrak{L}_r^\infty} \lesssim \lambda_0^{-\frac{1}{4}}\nu^2R^{-1}R^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{2n-1}{4}}\|h\|_2 \tag{3.21}$$

By (3.3), (2.8) and (3.20) for $\Omega = \rho^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{\pm i\rho}$, $\Psi_\nu(\rho) = O(1/\rho)$, we also have for $\Omega = \nu^2\rho^{-\frac{3}{2}}e^{\pm i\rho}$,

$$\|\mathcal{T}_{\Omega,R}h\|_{L_t^q\mathfrak{L}_r^2} \lesssim R^{\frac{1}{q}}\|h\|_2 \tag{3.22}$$

Hence, by interpolation between (3.21) and (3.22) we see that if $\Omega = \nu^2\rho^{-\frac{3}{2}}e^{\pm i\rho}$,

$$\|\mathcal{T}_{\Omega,R}h\|_{L_t^q\mathfrak{L}_r^p} \lesssim \lambda_0^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})}(\nu^2R^{-1})^{1-\frac{2}{p}}R^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})}\|h\|_2 \tag{3.23}$$

provided that $2 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $2/q \geq 1/2 - 1/p$. Hence, when $R \geq 2\nu^2$, one gets uniform bounds so that if $\Omega = \nu^2\rho^{-\frac{3}{2}}e^{\pm i\rho}$, $\rho^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{\pm i\rho}$, $\Psi_\nu(\rho) = O(1/\rho)$,

$$\|\mathcal{T}_{\Omega,R}h\|_{L_t^q\mathfrak{L}_r^p} \lesssim \lambda_0^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})}R^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})}\|h\|_2, \tag{3.24}$$

whenever $2 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $2/q \geq 1/2 - 1/p$.

3.3. **An improvement on angular regularity.**

In what follows we improve the bound in ν but at the expense of the bounds in λ_0. This is why we need an extra condition on ω in Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $R \gtrsim 1$ and $\mathcal{T}_R^\nu$ be defined by* (3.2). *If $2 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, $\nu \geq 0$, and $1/q \geq 1/2 - 1/p$, then there is a constant $C = C(n,p,q) > 0$, independent of λ_0, ν, R, such that*

$$\|\mathcal{T}_R^\nu h\|_{L_t^q\mathfrak{L}_r^p} \leq C\lambda_0^{-(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})}(1+\nu)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})}R^{\frac{1}{q}+\frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2})}\|h\|_2. \tag{3.25}$$

Proof. From the proof Proposition 3.1 (see (3.5) and (3.6)) and Remark 1 (see (3.24)) we recall that the estimates (3.25) for $(p, q) = (2, 2)$, $(2, \infty)$ are already obtained. Hence, for the proof of Proposition 3.4 it is sufficient to show (3.25) for $(p, q) = (\infty, 2)$. By Lemma 3.2 this follows from

$$\|\chi_I \mathcal{T}_R^\nu h\|_{L_t^2 \mathfrak{L}_r^\infty} \le C\lambda_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+\nu)^{\frac{1}{4}} R^{-\frac{2n-3}{4}} \|h\|_2. \tag{3.26}$$

Here I is an interval of length $\sim R$. For the case $R \ll \nu$ it is easy to check (3.26) as before and the case $R \gg \nu^2$ is already handled (see (3.24) in Remark 1). Hence to show (3.26) we may assume

$$\nu \ll R \lesssim \nu^2.$$

To treat this case we use (2.5). The contribution from h_ν in (2.5) is $O(R^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\|h\|_2)$. So, it is acceptable. Hence it is enough to show that

$$\|\mathcal{T}^\pm h\|_{L_t^2 \mathfrak{L}_r^\infty} \le C\lambda_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nu^{\frac{1}{4}} R^{\frac{1}{4}} \|h\|_2, \tag{3.27}$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}_\pm g(t, r) = \chi_I(t) \widetilde{\chi}_R(r) \int e^{-it\widetilde{\omega}(\rho) \pm i\theta(r\rho)} \beta_\nu(\rho, r) g(\rho) d\rho$$

and $\beta_\nu(\rho, r) = \beta(\rho)\big(1 - \frac{\nu^2}{\rho^2 r^2}\big)^{-\frac{1}{4}}$. We only show the estimate for $\mathcal{T}_+$. The other can be handle similarly. Following the previous argument we need to show that

$$\|T_+ T_+^* H\|_{L_t^2 \mathfrak{L}_r^\infty} \le C\lambda_0^{-1}(1+\nu)^{\frac{1}{2}} R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h\|_{L_t^2 \mathfrak{L}_r^1}.$$

Since

$$T_+ T_+^* H = \iint \chi_I(t) \chi_I(s) K(t-s, r, r')[r'^{n-1} H(s, r')] dr' ds,$$

and

$$K(t, r, r') = \int e^{-it\widetilde{\omega}(\rho) + i(\theta(r\rho) - \theta(r'\rho))} \beta_\nu(\rho, r) \beta_\nu(\rho, r') d\rho.$$

Now let us observe that for $\nu \ll r$, $\rho \sim 1$

$$\Big|\frac{d^2}{d\rho^2} \theta(r\rho)\Big| \lesssim \frac{\nu^2}{r} \lesssim \nu.$$

So, if $|t| \ge C\lambda_0^{-1}\nu$ for some large C,

$$\Big|\frac{d^2}{d\rho^2}\Big(- t\widetilde{\omega}(\rho) + \theta(r\rho) - \theta(r'\rho)\Big)\Big| \ge C\lambda_0 |t|.$$

Hence, from van der Corput lemma we get

$$|K(t, r, r')| \le C\lambda_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

if $|t| \ge C\lambda_0^{-1}\nu$. Hence using trivial bounds $|K(t,r,r')| = O(1)$ for $|t| \le C\lambda_0^{-1}\nu$ we see that

$$\int \chi_I(t)\chi_I(s)\sup_{r,r'}|K(s-t,r,r')|dt, \int \chi_I(t)\chi_I(s)\sup_{r,r'}|K(s-t,r,r')|ds$$

are bounded by

$$C\int_0^{\lambda_0^{-1}\nu} dt + C\lambda_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}\int_0^R t^{-\frac{1}{2}}dt \le C\lambda_0^{-1}\nu + C\lambda_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}R^{\frac{1}{2}} \le C\lambda_0^{-1}\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}R^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

because $\nu \ll R$. Then by Schur's test we get the desired bound. □

Remark 2 (The wave equation). For the wave equation $\omega(\rho) = \pm\rho$, the estimates are much easier to show. Let us consider the operator

$$\mathcal{W}_R^\nu h(t,r) = \chi_R(r)r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\int e^{-it\varpi(\rho)}J_\nu(r\rho)\beta(\rho)h(\rho)d\rho.$$

Then we have for $2 \le p, q \le \infty$

$$\|\mathcal{W}_R^\nu h\|_{L_t^q\mathfrak{L}_r^p} \le CR^{\frac{1}{q}+\frac{n-1}{p}-\frac{n-1}{2}}\|h\|_2. \tag{3.28}$$

We only need to show the estimates for $(p,q) = (2,\infty)$, $(2,2)$, (∞,∞), $(2,\infty)$. In fact, the case $(p,q) = (2,\infty)$ is a consequence of Plancherel's theorem. So, we can apply Hölder's inequality and Lemma 3.2 to the estimate (3.28) with $(p,q) = (2,\infty)$ to get (3.28) for $(p,q) = (2,2)$. When $(p,q) = (\infty,\infty)$, the desired estimate can be obtained by Schwarz's inequality and (3.4) (cf. (3.5)). So similarly the case $(p,q) = (\infty,2)$ also follows by Hölder's inequality and Lemma 3.2.

4. Proofs of Theorem 1.1, 1.2

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 making use of the estimates in the previous section. The estimates other than those on the sharp line ($\frac{1}{q} = \frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})$) are relatively easy to show once we have Propositions 3.1. However, to get the estimate along the sharp line we need additional works which actually correspond to showing inhomogeneous estimates.

We start with proving the necessity of the condition $\frac{1}{q} \le \frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})$ for (1.6).

4.1. The necessary condition (1.7).

Let φ be radially symmetric function such that $\widehat{\varphi}$ is supported in $\{|\xi| \sim 1\}$. Since $\widehat{\varphi}$ is also radial, we can write the solution u to (1.1) as

$$u(t,x) = C|x|^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\int e^{-it\omega(\rho)}\rho^{\frac{n}{2}}J_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|x|\rho)\widehat{\varphi}(\rho)\,d\rho.$$

Fix $\rho_0 \in [1,2]$. For $R \gg 1$, let us choose φ such that

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\xi) = \rho^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \phi(R^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho - \rho_0)),\ \rho = |\xi|,$$

where $\phi \in C_c^\infty(-1,1)$. By the asymptotic of Bessel function (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(t,x) &= C|x|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \int e^{i(-t\omega(\rho)+|x|\rho)} \phi(R^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho-\rho_0))d\rho \\ &\quad + C|x|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \int e^{i(-t\omega(\rho)-|x|\rho)} \phi(R^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho-\rho_0))d\rho + O(R^{-\frac{1}{2}}|x|^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}) \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

provided $|x| \sim |t| \gg 1$. Now observe that if $|t| \le R$ and $||x| - \omega(\rho_0)t| \lesssim R^{\frac{1}{2}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} -t\omega(\rho) + |x|\rho &= |x|\rho_0 - t\omega(\rho_0) + (|x| - \omega(\rho_0)t)(\rho - \rho_0) + O(t(\rho-\rho_0)^2) \\ &= |x|\rho_0 - t\omega(\rho_0) + O(1) \end{aligned}$$

since $|\rho - \rho_0| \le R^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. By a simple change of variables the second integral equals

$$C|x|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{-i|x|\rho_0} \int e^{i(-t\omega(R^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rho+\rho_0) - R^{-\frac{1}{2}}|x|\rho)} \phi(\rho) d\rho.$$

Since $|\frac{d}{d\rho}(-t\omega(R^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rho + \rho_0) - R^{-\frac{1}{2}}|x|\rho)| \ge CR^{\frac{1}{2}}$ if $t, |x| \sim R$. By integration by parts, we see that the second integral in (4.1) is $O(R^{-M})$ for any M if $t, |x| \sim R$. Hence, for $t, |x| \sim R$ and $||x| - \omega(\rho_0)t| \lesssim R^{\frac{1}{2}}$

$$|u(t,x)| \gtrsim R^{-\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Therefore it follows that

$$\|u\|_{L^q_t \mathfrak{L}^p_r L^2_\sigma} \gtrsim R^{-\frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{2n-1}{2p}}.$$

On the other hand $\|\varphi\|_{L^2_x} \sim R^{-\frac{1}{4}}$. Since φ is a radial function, $\|\varphi\|_{L^2_x} = \|\varphi\|_{L^2_r H^2_\sigma}$. So the estimate (1.6) implies that $R^{-\frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{2n-1}{2p}} \lesssim R^{-\frac{1}{4}}$. Letting $R \to \infty$, we get the condition (1.7).

4.2. **Frequency localization.** By Littlewood-Paley theory, scaling and orthogonality the estimate (1.6) can be obtained from the estimates for the simpler operator $\mathcal{T}^\nu$ which is defined by

$$\mathcal{T}^\nu h(t,r) = r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \int e^{-it\varpi(\rho)} J_\nu(r\rho) \rho^{\frac{n}{2}} \beta(\rho) h(\rho) d\rho.$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $2 \le p, q \le \infty$, $\gamma \ge 0$, *and* $\varpi \in C(1/2,2) \cap C^4(1/2,2)$ *which satisfies* (3.1). *Suppose that for* $\nu = \nu(k) = \frac{n-2+2k}{2}$, $k \ge 0$,

$$\|\mathcal{T}^\nu h\|_{L^q_t \mathfrak{L}^p_r} \le C(1+\nu)^\gamma \lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2p} - \frac{1}{4}} \|h\|_2. \tag{4.2}$$

Then the solution u to (1.1) *satisfies* (1.6) *with s_1, s_2 and s satisfying* (1.5) *provided that $\alpha = \gamma + (n-1)(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$ when $p > \infty$ and $\alpha > \gamma + (n-1)(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$ when $p = \infty$.*

Proof. Let $N > 0$ denote dyadic numbers and let $\beta \in C_c^\infty(1/2, 2)$ such that $\sum_N \beta(|\xi|/N) = 1$, $|\xi| \neq 0$. Then we define P_N to be the projection operator given by

$$\widehat{P_N f}(\xi) = \beta\big(\frac{|\xi|}{N}\big)\widehat{f}(\xi).$$

Since $2 \le p \le \infty$ and $q \ge 2$, by Littlewood-Paley theory, Minkowski's inequality and Sobolev embedding on the unit sphere S^{n-1} it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\|e^{-it\omega(|\nabla|)}\varphi\|_{L_t^q L_x^p} &\sim \Big\|\Big\|\big(\sum_N |P_N e^{-it\omega(|\nabla|)}\varphi|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big\|_{L_x^p}\Big\|_{L_t^q} \\ &\le \Big(\sum_N \|e^{-it\omega(|\nabla|)}P_N\varphi\|_{L_t^q L_x^p}^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\le \Big(\sum_N \|e^{-it\omega(|\nabla|)}P_N D_\sigma^{(\alpha-\gamma)}\varphi\|_{L_t^q \mathfrak{L}_r^p L_\sigma^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

(Note that $\alpha - \gamma = (n-1)(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$ if $p > \infty$ and $\alpha - \gamma > \frac{n-1}{2}$ when $p = \infty$.) Then, by orthogonality it is sufficient for (1.6) to show that

$$\|e^{-it\omega(|\nabla|)}P_N\varphi\|_{L_t^q \mathfrak{L}_r^p L_\sigma^2} \le C\|\mathcal{D}_\omega^{s_1,s_2}P_N\varphi\|_{\dot{H}_r^s H_\sigma^\gamma}$$

with C, independent of N. By the property (ii) of ω it reduces to

$$\|e^{-it\omega(|\nabla|)}P_N\varphi\|_{L_t^q \mathfrak{L}_r^p L_\sigma^2} \le W_N N^{n(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{2}{q}}\|\varphi\|_{\mathfrak{L}_r^2 H_\sigma^\gamma},$$

where $W_N = C(\omega'(N)N^{-1})^{(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{2p})-\frac{1}{q}}|\omega''(N)|^{\frac{1}{2p}-\frac{1}{4}}$. By rescaling

$$\xi \to N\xi,\ x \to N^{-1}x,\ t \to (N\omega'(N))^{-1}t, \tag{4.3}$$

and (1.5) this is equivalent with

$$\|e^{-it\varpi(|\nabla|)}P_1\varphi\|_{L_t^q \mathfrak{L}_r^p L_\sigma^2} \le C\lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2p}-\frac{1}{4}}\|\varphi\|_{\mathfrak{L}_r^2 H_\sigma^\alpha}, \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$\varpi(\rho) = \frac{\omega(N\rho)}{N\omega'(N)}, \quad \lambda_0 = \Big|\frac{N\omega''(N)}{\omega'(N)}\Big|. \tag{4.5}$$

Since $\|\varphi\|_{\mathfrak{L}_r^2 H_\sigma^\alpha} = \|\widehat{\varphi}\|_{\mathfrak{L}_r^2 H_\sigma^\alpha}$ by Plancherel's theorem and orthogonality of spherical harmonics, we are reduced to showing that

$$\|Tf\|_{L_t^q \mathfrak{L}_r^p L_\sigma^2} \le C\lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2p}-\frac{1}{4}}\|f\|_{\mathfrak{L}_r^2 H_\sigma^\gamma},$$

for f supported in $[\frac{1}{2}, 2]$, where

$$Tf(t,x) = \int e^{i(x\cdot\xi - t\varpi(|\xi|))}\beta(|\xi|)f(\xi)\,d\xi. \tag{4.6}$$

We now expand f by the orthonormal basis $\{Y_k^l\}, k \ge 0, 1 \le l \le d(k)$ of spherical harmonics (here $d(k)$ is the dimension of spherical harmonics of order k) such that

$$f(\xi) = f(\rho\sigma) = \sum_{k\ge 0}\sum_{1\le l\le d(k)} a_k^l(\rho)Y_k^l(\sigma).$$

We use the identities $\widehat{Y_k^l}(\rho\sigma) = c_{n,k}\rho^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}J_\nu(\rho)Y_k^l(-\sigma)^3$, $\nu = \nu(k) = \frac{n-2+2k}{2}$ (see [29]) to get

$$Tf(t,x) = \sum_{k,l} c_{n,k}\mathcal{T}^\nu(a_k^l)(t,r)\; Y_k^l(x/|x|), \quad r = |x|, \tag{4.7}$$

where $|c_{n,k}| = (2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}$, $k \ge 0$ for some positive constant C which is not depending on k. By orthogonality among $\{Y_k^l\}$ and Minkowski's inquality

$$\|Tf\|_{L_t^q\mathfrak{L}_r^pL_\sigma^2} \le C\Big(\sum_{k,l}\|\mathcal{T}^{\nu(k)}(a_k^l)\|^2_{L_t^q\mathfrak{L}_r^pL_\sigma^2}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since ω satifies the conditions $(i) - (iii)$, it is easy to check that ϖ, λ_0 in (4.5) verifies the condition (3.1). Hence by the estimate (4.2) and the identity $\|f\|_{L_r^2H_\sigma^\alpha} = \Big\|\Big(\sum_{k,l}(1+k(k+n-2))^\alpha|a_k^l|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big\|_{L^2_{\rho\sim 1}}$ which follows from the fact that $-\Delta_\sigma Y_k^l = k(k+n-2)Y_k^l$, we get

$$\|Tf\|_{L_t^q\mathfrak{L}_r^pL_\sigma^2} \le C\lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2p}-\frac{1}{4}}\Big(\sum_{k,l}(1+\nu(k))^{2\gamma}\|a_k^l\|^2_{L^2_{\rho\sim1}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le C\lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2p}-\frac{1}{4}}\|f\|_{L_r^2H_\sigma^\gamma}.$$

This completes the proof. □

4.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** From the results [12], we already have estimates (1.6) for $\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r}) = \frac{1}{q}$ with $\alpha = 0$. So, by interpolation it is enough to consider estimates near or on the sharp line ($\frac{1}{q} = \frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})$). Hence by Lemma 4.1 we only need to show (4.2) with $\gamma = \frac{4}{5}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}) + \epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ if $\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r}) < \frac{1}{q} \le \frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})$ and $q \ne 2$. In fact, note that $(n-1+\frac{4}{5})(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}) \to \frac{5n-1}{5(2n-1)}$ as $(p,q) \to (\frac{4n-2}{2n-3}, 2)$. So, we interpolate (1.6) with (p,q) arbitrarily close to $(\frac{4n-2}{2n-3}, 2)$ and (1.4) to get the desired estimate.

---

[3] $c_{n,k} = (2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}i^{-k}$

4.3.1. *Estimates away from the sharp line.* We show (1.6) for $\frac{n}{2}(\frac12-\frac1r)<\frac1q<\frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac12-\frac1p)$. We break the operator in space radially. Fix a dyadic number $R_0\ge 1$. We write

$$\mathcal{T}^\nu h=\chi_{\{r<R_0\}}\mathcal{T}^\nu h+\chi_{\{r\ge R_0\}}\mathcal{T}^\nu h.$$

The first is easy to handle. In fact, we show that for $2\le p,q\le\infty$,

$$\|\chi_{\{r<R_0\}}\mathcal{T}^\nu h\|_{L^q_t\mathfrak{L}^p_r}\le C\|h\|_2. \tag{4.8}$$

From (4.7) we note that

$$c_{n,k}\mathcal{T}^\nu h(t,|x|)\,Y_k^l(-\frac{x}{|x|})=\int e^{i(x\cdot\xi-t\varpi(|\xi|))}h(|\xi|)Y_k^l(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|})\beta(|\xi|)\,d\xi.$$

Then the estimate (4.8) for $(p,q)=(2,\infty)$ follows from Plancherel's theorem. Also, taking L^2 norm in angular variables (on S^{n-1}) and Schwarz's inequality we get $|\mathcal{T}^\nu h(t,r)|\le C\|h\|_2$. Interpolation establishes (4.8) for $2\le p\le\infty$, $q=\infty$. Now, by Lemma 3.2 it is sufficient for (4.8) to show $\|\chi_{[0,2R_0]}(t)\mathcal{T}^\nu h(t,r)\|_{L^q_t\mathfrak{L}^p_r}\le C\|h\|_2$ for $2\le p,q\le\infty$. It follows by Hölder's inequality. Hence we get the desired estimate (4.8).

Recalling (3.2), we further break $\chi_{\{r\ge R_0\}}\mathcal{T}^\nu h$ to get

$$\chi_{\{r\ge R_0\}}\mathcal{T}^\nu h=\sum_{R:dyadic,\ R\ge R_0}\mathcal{T}^\nu_R h,$$

After triangle inequality we apply Propositions 3.1 to get

$$\|\chi_{\{r\ge R_0\}}\mathcal{T}^\nu h\|_{L^q_t\mathfrak{L}^p_r}\le C\lambda_0^{-\frac12(\frac12-\frac1p)}(1+\nu)^{\frac45(\frac12-\frac1p)}\|h\|_2.$$

provided that $2\le p,q\le\infty$, $2/q\ge 1/2-1/p$, and $\frac1q<\frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac12-\frac1p)$. From this and (4.8) we get the estimate (4.2) and hence (1.6).

4.3.2. *Estimate along the sharp line* $\frac1q+\frac{2n-1}{2p}-\frac{2n-1}{4}=0$. We now fill up the remaining estimates along the sharp line by showing estimate (4.2) for $\frac1q=\frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac12-\frac1p)$, $q\ne 2$. Again by Lemma 4.1 it is enough to show (4.2). It will be done by making use of TT^* argument. Since the estimate for $(p,q)=(2,\infty)$ is trivial, we may assume $p\ne 2$.

By (4.8) it is sufficient to consider $\chi_{\{r\ge R_0\}}\mathcal{T}^\nu h$. We further break it (up to the cases in (3.18)) to get

$$\chi_{\{r\ge R_0\}}\mathcal{T}^\nu h=\Big(\sum_{R_0\le R<5\nu^{\frac85}}+\sum_{R\ge 5\nu^{\frac85}}\Big)\mathcal{T}^\nu_R h.$$

The first sum is easy to handle. From Proposition 3.1 we have (3.3). So, by direct summation we see that for $\frac1q+\frac{2n-1}{2p}-\frac{2n-1}{4}=0$

$$\|\sum_{R_0\le R<5\nu^{\frac85}}\mathcal{T}_R^\nu h\|_{L^q_t\mathfrak{L}^p_r}\le C(\log\nu)\nu^{\frac45(\frac12-\frac1p)}\|h\|_2.$$

To obtain the desired estimate for $\sum_{R\ge5\nu^{\frac85}}\mathcal{T}_R^\nu h$, it is sufficient to show that

$$\|\chi_{\{r\ge5\nu^{\frac85}\}}\mathcal{T}_\Omega h\|_{L^q_t\mathfrak{L}^p_r}\le C(1+\nu)^{\frac45(\frac12-\frac1p)}\lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2p}-\frac14}\|h\|_2$$

with $\Omega=J_\nu$. (See (3.19).) For the proof of (4.2), using (2.8) in Lemma 2.1 we only need to show this with

$$\Omega(\rho)=\rho^{-1/2}e^{\pm i\rho},\ \nu^2\rho^{-\frac32}e^{\pm i\rho},\ \Psi_\nu(\rho)=O(\rho^{-1}).$$

First we handle the case $\Omega=\Psi_\nu$. We break the operator dyadically so that

$$\|\sum_{R\ge5\nu^{\frac85}}\chi_R(r)\mathcal{T}_{\Psi_\nu}h\|_{L^q_t\mathfrak{L}^p_r}\le\sum_{R\ge5\nu^{\frac85}}\|\chi_R(r)\mathcal{T}_{\Psi_\nu}h\|_{L^q_t\mathfrak{L}^p_r}.$$

Since $\Psi_\nu(\rho)=O(\rho^{-1})$, $\|T_{\Psi_\nu}h\|_{L^\infty_t\mathfrak{L}^\infty_r}\le CR^{-\frac n2}$. From Lemma 3.3 and Hölder's inequality, it follows that $\|\chi_R(r)\mathcal{T}_{\Psi_\nu}h\|_{L^q_t\mathfrak{L}^p_r}\le CR^{-\frac n2+\frac1q+\frac np}\|h\|_2$ for $p,q\ge2$. Since $\frac1q=\frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac12-\frac1p)$, $p\ne2$, we get for some $\epsilon>0$

$$\|\sum_{R\ge5\nu^{\frac85}}\chi_R(r)\mathcal{T}_{\Psi_\nu}h\|_{L^q_t\mathfrak{L}^p_r}\le C\sum_{R\ge5\nu^{\frac85}}R^{-\epsilon}\|h\|_2\le C\|h\|_2.$$

When $\Omega(\rho)=\nu^2\rho^{-\frac32}e^{\pm i\rho}$, using (3.23) we obtain the desired bound by direct summation. Indeed, if $\Omega(\rho)=\nu^2\rho^{-\frac32}e^{\pm i\rho}$, by (3.23)

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sum_{R\ge5\nu^{\frac85}}\chi_R(r)\mathcal{T}_\Omega h\|_{L^q_t\mathfrak{L}^p_r}&\le C\lambda_0^{-\frac12(\frac12-\frac1p)}\sum_{R\ge5\nu^{\frac85}}(\nu^2R^{-1})^{1-\frac2p}R^{\frac1q-\frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac12-\frac1p)}\|h\|_2\\&\le C(1+\nu)^{\frac45(\frac12-\frac1p)}\lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2p}-\frac14}\|h\|_2.\end{aligned}$$

We now handle the case $\Omega(\rho)=\rho^{-1/2}e^{\pm i\rho}$ which is the main term. By discarding some irrelevant factors it is sufficient to show that

$$\|\sum_{R\ge5\nu^{\frac85}}S_Rh\|_{L^q_t\mathfrak{L}^p_r}\le C\lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2p}-\frac14}\|h\|_2,$$

where

$$S_Rh(t,r)=R^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\widetilde{\chi}_R(r)\int e^{i(-t\varpi(\rho)\pm r\rho)}\beta(\rho)h(\rho)d\rho$$

and ϖ satisfies (3.1). By duality it is equivalent with

$$\| \sum_{R \geq 5\nu^{\frac{8}{5}}} S_R^* H\|_2 \leq C\lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2p}-\frac{1}{4}} \|H\|_{L_t^{q'}\mathfrak{L}_r^{p'}}. \tag{4.9}$$

Here S_R^* is the adjoint of S_R. Hence it suffices to show that

$$\| \sum_{R,R' \geq 5\nu^{\frac{8}{5}}} S_R S_{R'}^* H\|_{L_t^q\mathfrak{L}_r^p} \leq C\lambda_0^{(\frac{1}{2p}-\frac{1}{2p'})} \|H\|_{L_t^{q'}\mathfrak{L}_r^{p'}} \tag{4.10}$$

provided that $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})$, $q \neq 2$, $p \neq 2$.

From (3.20) in Remark 1 we have

$$\|S_R h\|_{L_t^q\mathfrak{L}_r^p} \leq C\lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2p}-\frac{1}{4}} R^{\frac{1}{q}+\frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2})}\|h\|_2$$

provided that $2 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, $\nu \geq 0$, and $2/q \geq 1/2 - 1/p$. By duality we have for $2 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $2/q \geq 1/2 - 1/p$

$$\|S_R^* H\|_2 \lesssim \lambda_0^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})} R^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})}\|H\|_{L_t^{q'}\mathfrak{L}_r^{p'}}. \tag{4.11}$$

Hence it follows that

$$\|S_R S_{R'}^* H\|_{L_t^q\mathfrak{L}_r^p} \lesssim C\lambda_0^{(\frac{1}{2p}-\frac{1}{2\widetilde{p}'})} R^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})} R'^{\frac{1}{\widetilde{q}}-\frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\widetilde{p}})}\|H\|_{L_t^{\widetilde{q}'}\mathfrak{L}_r^{\widetilde{p}'}} \tag{4.12}$$

provided that $2 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $2/q \geq 1/2 - 1/p$ and $2 \leq \widetilde{p}, \widetilde{q} \leq \infty$ and $2/\widetilde{q} \geq 1/2 - 1/\widetilde{p}$. However to get estimates at the critical line the estimates are still not enough. To get over it, we make an observation which is stated in the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let us denote* $\max(R, R')$ *by* R^* *and* $\min(R, R')$ *by* R_*. *If* $1 \leq q, \widetilde{q} \leq \infty$,

$$\|S_R S_{R'}^* H\|_{L_t^q\mathfrak{L}_r^\infty} \leq C\lambda_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} R^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{2n-1}{4}} R'^{\frac{1}{\widetilde{q}}-\frac{2n-1}{4}} \Big(\frac{R_*}{R^*}\Big)^{\min(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{q},\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{\widetilde{q}})}\|H\|_{L_t^{\widetilde{q}'}\mathfrak{L}_r^1}. \tag{4.13}$$

Proof. By (4.12) we may assume that $R^* \geq 8R_*$. Note that

$$S_R S_{R'}^* H(t, r) = \iint K_{R,R'}(t-s, r, r')[r'^{n-1}H(s, r')]dsdr',$$

where

$$K_{R,R'}(t, r, r') = (RR')^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\widetilde{\chi}_R(r)\widetilde{\chi}_{R'}(r') \int e^{i(-t\varpi(\rho)\pm(r-r')\rho)}\beta^2(\rho)d\rho.$$

We first break the kernel $K_{R,R'}$ so that

$$K_{R,R'}(t, r, r') = K_1(t, r, r') + K_2(t, r, r'),$$

where

$$K_1(t,r,r') = \chi_{\{R^*/8\le|t|\le 8R^*\}}K_{R,R'}(t,r,r').$$

Since $|\varpi'(\rho)| \lesssim 1$, $|\frac{d}{d\rho}(-t\varpi(\rho)\pm(r-r')\rho)| \ge C\max(|t|,R^*)$ if $|t|\le R^*/8$ or $|t|\ge 8R^*$. Hence by integration by parts (three times) we see that

$$|K_2(t,r,r')| \le (R^*)^{-a}(1+t)^{-(3-a)}$$

for any $0\le a\le 3$. Hence, the contribution from K_2 is negligible. Therefore for (4.13) it is sufficient to consider the operator

$$S_{R,R'}H(t,r) = \iint K_1(t-s,r,r')[r'^{n-1}H(s,r')]dsdr'$$

instead of $S_RS^*_{R'}$. Since $|\varpi''|\gtrsim\lambda_0$ and $K_1(\cdot,r,r')$ is supported in $[R/8,8R]$, by the van der Corput lemma it follows that

$$|K_1(t,r,r')| \le C(R^*\lambda_0)^{-\frac12}.$$

By the standard argument, obviously we may assume that the temporal supports of $S_{R,R'}H$, H are contained in an interval of length $\sim R^*$, by Hölder's inequality and the above kernel estimate we have for $1\le q\le 2\le \widetilde{q}'\le\infty$

$$\begin{aligned}\|S_{R,R'}H\|_{L^q_t\mathfrak{L}^\infty_r} &\le C(R^*)^{\frac1q+1-\frac{1}{\widetilde{q}'}}(RR')^{-\frac{2n-2}{4}}(R^*\lambda_0)^{-\frac12}\|H\|_{L^{\widetilde{q}'}_t\mathfrak{L}^1_r}\\ &\le C\lambda_0^{-\frac12}R^{\frac1q-\frac{2n-1}{4}}R'^{\frac{1}{\widetilde{q}}-\frac{2n-1}{4}}\Big(\frac{R_*}{R^*}\Big)^{\min(\frac14-\frac1q,\frac14-\frac{1}{\widetilde{q}})}\|H\|_{L^{\widetilde{q}'}_t\mathfrak{L}^1_r}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we get the desired estimate (4.13). □

Now we interpolate (4.12) and (4.13) to improve the estimate (4.12) . In particular, taking $p=\widetilde{p}=2$ in (4.12), we have

$$\|S_RS^*_{R'}H\|_{L^q_t\mathfrak{L}^2_r} \lesssim CR^{\frac1q}R'^{\frac{1}{\widetilde{q}}}\|H\|_{L^{\widetilde{q}'}_t\mathfrak{L}^2_r}$$

provided that $2\le q,\widetilde{q}\le\infty$. Then we interpolate it with (4.13) to get

$$\begin{aligned}\|S_RS^*_{R'}H\|_{L^q_t\mathfrak{L}^p_r} \le& C\lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2p}-\frac{1}{2p'}}\min\big(\frac{R}{R'},\frac{R'}{R}\big)^\epsilon\\ &\times R^{\frac1q-\frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac12-\frac1p)}R'^{\frac{1}{\widetilde{q}}-\frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac12-\frac1p)}\|H\|_{L^{\widetilde{q}'}_t\mathfrak{L}^{p'}_r}\end{aligned}\tag{4.14}$$

for some $\epsilon=\epsilon(p,q,\widetilde{q})>0$ provided that $2<p\le\infty$ and $0\le\frac1q,\frac{1}{\widetilde{q}}<\frac14+\frac{1}{2p}$. Clearly we may assume that ϵ continuously depends on $\frac1p,\frac1q,\frac{1}{\widetilde{q}}$. So, if Δ is a compact subset of $\{(\frac1p,\frac1q,\frac{1}{\widetilde{q}}):\frac12>\frac1p\ge0,\ 0\le\frac1q,\frac{1}{\widetilde{q}}<\frac14+\frac{1}{2p}\}$, there is a uniform lower bound $\epsilon_0=\epsilon_0(\Delta)$ such that $\epsilon(1/p,1/q,\widetilde{q})\ge\epsilon_0>0$ if $(1/p,1/q,\widetilde{q})\in\Delta$.

4.3.3. *Endpoint estimates for* $2 < p < \frac{2n}{n-1}$. We firstly show (4.10) for $p < \frac{2n}{n-1}$. The remaining case will be handled differently.

Fix p, q such that $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac12 - \frac1p)$, $2 < p < \frac{2n}{n-1}$. We write

$$\sum_{R,R'\ge 5\nu^{\frac85}} S_R S_{R'}^* H = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \Big(\sum_{R,R'\ge 5\nu^{\frac85}; \frac{R}{R'}=2^k} S_R S_{R'}^* H \Big).$$

Then by (4.14) each of summand in the inner summation satisfies

$$\|S_R S_{R'}^* H\|_{L_t^s \mathfrak{L}_r^p} \le C\lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2p}-\frac{1}{2p'}} (R' 2^{k/2})^{(\frac1s + \frac1{\widetilde{s}} - \frac{2n-1}{2}(1-\frac2p))} 2^{-\epsilon|k|} \|H\|_{L_t^{\widetilde{s}'} \mathfrak{L}_r^{p'}}$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$ if $0 \le \frac1s, \frac1{\widetilde{s}} < \frac14 + \frac1{2p}$.

We now use a summation argument due to Bourgain [4] (also see [6] for a generalization.) For reader's convenience we state a version which we need here (see [24] for a simple proof.)

Lemma 4.3. *Let* $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$. *Let* A, B *be Banach spaces and* $1 \le r_1, r_2, s_1, s_2 < \infty$. *Suppose that* $\{T_j\}_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}$ *be a collection of operators satisfying that* $\|T_j F\|_{L^{s_1}(B)} \le CM_1 2^{\varepsilon_1 j} F\|_{L^{r_1}(A)}$ *and* $\|T_j F\|_{L^{s_2}(B)} \le CM_2 2^{-\varepsilon_2 j} F\|_{L^{r_2}(A)}$. *Then*

$$\|\sum T_j F\|_{L^{s,\infty}(B)} \le CM_1^{\theta} M_2^{1-\theta} F\|_{L^{r,1}(A)},$$

where $\theta = \varepsilon_2/(\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2)$, $1/r = \theta/r_1 + (1-\theta)/r_2$ *and* $1/s = \theta/s_1 + (1-\theta)/s_2$. *Here* $L^{r,a}$ *denotes the Lorentz space.*

Let us denote

$$I_p = \Big\{ (\frac1s, \frac1{\widetilde{s}}) : \frac1s + \frac1{\widetilde{s}} = \frac{2n-1}{2}(1-\frac2p),\ 0 \le \frac1s,\ \frac1{\widetilde{s}} < \frac14 + \frac1{2p} \Big\}.$$

The open line segment I_p is not empty as long as $\frac{2n-1}{2}(1-\frac2p) < \frac12 + \frac1p$ (equivalently $p < \frac{2n}{n-1}$). By Lemma 4.3, we get for $2 < p < \frac{2n}{n-1}$

$$\|\sum_{\frac{R}{R'}=2^k} S_R S_{R'}^* H\|_{L_t^{s,\infty}\mathfrak{L}_r^p} \le C 2^{-\epsilon|k|} \|H\|_{L_t^{\widetilde{s}',1}\mathfrak{L}_r^{p'}} \tag{4.15}$$

provided $(\frac1s, \frac1{\widetilde{s}}) \in I_p$. Since $\widetilde{s}' < 2 < s$, by real interpolation among the estimates (4.15) for $(\frac1s, \frac1{\widetilde{s}}) \in I_p$, they can be strengthened to strong type. Hence we have that if $2 < p < \frac{2n}{n-1}$ and $(\frac1s, \frac1{\widetilde{s}}) \in I_p$, then

$$\|\sum_{\frac{R}{R'}=2^k} S_R S_{R'}^* H\|_{L_t^s \mathfrak{L}_r^p} \le C\lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2p}-\frac{1}{2p'}} 2^{-\epsilon|k|} \|H\|_{L_t^{\widetilde{s}'}\mathfrak{L}_r^{p'}}. \tag{4.16}$$

So for $2 < p < \frac{2n}{n-1}$ and $(\frac{1}{s}, \frac{1}{\widetilde{s}}) \in I_p$ we get

$$\Big\| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \Big(\sum_{R,R' \ge 5\nu^{\frac{8}{5}};\, \frac{R}{R'}=2^k} S_R S_{R'}^* H \Big) \Big\|_{L^s_t \mathfrak{L}^p_r}$$

$$\le C\lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2p}-\frac{1}{2p'}} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{-\epsilon|k|} \|H\|_{L^{\widetilde{s}'}_t \mathfrak{L}^{p'}_r} \le C\lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2p}-\frac{1}{2p'}} \|H\|_{L^{\widetilde{s}'}_t \mathfrak{L}^{p'}_r}.$$

In particular if we take $s = \widetilde{s}$ $(= q)$, we get the desired estimate (4.10) for $p < \frac{2n}{n-1}$.

4.3.4. *Endpoint estimates for* $\frac{2n}{n-1} \le p < \frac{2(2n-1)}{2n-3}$. After squaring the left hand side of (4.9), we rearrange it so that

$$\sum_{R,R' \ge 5\nu^{\frac{8}{5}}} \langle S_R^* H, S_{R'}^* H\rangle = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \Big(\sum_{R,R' \ge 5\nu^{\frac{8}{5}};\, \frac{R}{R'}=2^k} \langle S_R^* H, S_{R'}^* H\rangle \Big).$$

Hence the desired estimate (4.9) follows if we show that for $2 < p < \frac{2(2n-1)}{2n-3}$

$$|\sum_{R,R' \ge 5\nu^{\frac{8}{5}};\, \frac{R}{R'}=2^k} \langle S_R^* H, S_{R'}^* G\rangle| \le C 2^{-\epsilon|k|} \lambda_0^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}} \|H\|_{L^{q'}_t \mathfrak{L}^{p'}_r} \|G\|_{L^{q'}_t \mathfrak{L}^{p'}_r}. \tag{4.17}$$

From (4.16) we already established this inequality for $2 < p < \frac{2n}{n-1}$. (Also the estimate without extra $2^{-\epsilon|k|}$ factor is not difficult to obtain by repeating the above argument if $p < \frac{4n-2}{2n-3}$.) To get this for $\frac{2n}{n-1} \le p < \frac{2(2n-1)}{2n-3}$ it is sufficient to show that

$$\Big| \sum_{R,R' \ge 5\nu^{\frac{8}{5}};\, \frac{R}{R'}=2^k} \langle S_R^* H, S_{R'}^* G\rangle \Big| \le C\lambda_0^{\frac{2n-3}{4n-2}-\frac{1}{2}} \|H\|_{L^2_t \mathfrak{L}_r^{\frac{4n-2}{2n+1}}} \|G\|_{L^2_t \mathfrak{L}_r^{\frac{4n-2}{2n+1}}}. \tag{4.18}$$

Then interpolating this with (4.17) for $2 < p < \frac{2n}{n-1}$ we get (4.17) for $2 < p < \frac{4n-2}{2n-3}$.

To show (4.18) we adopt bilinear interpolation argument which was used to show the endpoint Strichartz estimate [20]. Let us denote by ℓ^s_r the pace of sequences $\{Z_R\}_{R:dyadic}$ with norm

$$\|\{Z_R\}\|_{\ell^s_r} = \begin{cases} \Big(\sum_{R:dyadic} |R^s Z_R|^r\Big)^{\frac{1}{r}} & \text{if } r \neq \infty, \\ \sup_{R:dyadic} |R^s Z_R| & \text{if } r = \infty. \end{cases}$$

We will use the fact (see Theorem 5.6.2 in [3]) that if $0 < q_0, q_1 \le \infty$ and $s_0 \neq s_1$, then for $q \le \infty$,

$$(\ell^{s_0}_{q_0}, \ell^{s_1}_{q_1})_{\theta,q} = \ell^s_q, \tag{4.19}$$

where $s = (1-\theta)s_0 + \theta s_1$. And we also recall the following fact from real interpolation (see [34], section 1.18.4). Let A_0, A_1 be Banach spaces. If $1 \le p_0, p_1 < \infty$, $0 < \theta < 1$, and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$, then

$$(L^{p_0}(A_0), L^{p_1}(A_1))_{\theta,p} = L^p((A_0, A_1)_{\theta,p}). \tag{4.20}$$

Here $(A_0, A_1)_{\theta,r}$ denotes the real interpolation space.

We consider the bilinear operator which is defined by

$$B_k(H,G)_{R'} = \begin{cases} \langle S_R^* H, S_{R'}^* G\rangle & \text{if } R = 2^k R',\ R, R' \ge 5\nu^{\frac{8}{5}}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By (4.12) and duality, taking $q = \widetilde{q} = 2$ particularly, we have for $2 \le p, \widetilde{p} \le \infty$

$$|\langle S_R^* H, S_{R'}^* G\rangle| \lesssim C\lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2p} - \frac{1}{2\widetilde{p}'}} R^{\frac{2n-1}{2}\frac{1}{p} - \frac{2n-3}{4}} R'^{\frac{2n-1}{2}\frac{1}{\widetilde{p}} - \frac{2n-3}{4}} \|H\|_{L_t^2 \mathfrak{L}_r^{p'}} \|G\|_{L_t^2 \mathfrak{L}_r^{\widetilde{p}'}}.$$

We rewrite it as

$$|\langle S_{2^k R}^* H, S_R^* G\rangle| \lesssim C\lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2p} - \frac{1}{2\widetilde{p}'}} 2^{k(\frac{2n-1}{2}\frac{1}{p} - \frac{2n-3}{4})} R^{\frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{\widetilde{p}}) - \frac{2n-3}{2}} \|H\|_{L_t^2 \mathfrak{L}_r^{p'}} \|G\|_{L_t^2 \mathfrak{L}_r^{\widetilde{p}'}} \tag{4.21}$$

which is valid for $2 \le p, \widetilde{p} \le \infty$. Let us set

$$\beta(p, \widetilde{p}) = \frac{2n-3}{2} - \frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{\widetilde{p}}).$$

Then (4.21) implies that for $2 \le p, \widetilde{p} \le \infty$

$$B_k : L_t^2 \mathfrak{L}_r^{p'} \times L_t^2 \mathfrak{L}_r^{\widetilde{p}'} \to \ell_\infty^{\beta(p,\widetilde{p})} \tag{4.22}$$

is bounded with bound $C\lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2p} - \frac{1}{2\widetilde{p}'}} 2^{k(\frac{2n-1}{2}\frac{1}{p} - \frac{2n-3}{4})}$.

Now we apply the following interpolation lemma. See [3] (exercise 5(a) in section 3.13) or [34] (section 1.19.5).

Lemma 4.4. *Let A_0, A_1, B_0, B_1, C_0, and C_1 be Banach spaces, and T be a bilinear operator such that $T : A_i \times B_i \to C_i$ with norm M_i for $i = 0, 1$. If $0 < \theta < 1$, $1 \le r \le \infty$, and $\frac{1}{a} = \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} - 1$, then*

$$T : (A_0, A_1)_{\theta,c} \times (B_0, B_1)_{\theta,b} \to (C_0, C_1)_{\theta,a}$$

is bounded with norm $M_0^{1-\theta} M_1^{\theta}$.

Choosing $(p_0, \widetilde{p}_0)$, $(p_1, \widetilde{p}_1) \in [2, \infty]^2$ such that $\beta(p_0, \widetilde{p}_0) \neq \beta(p_1, \widetilde{p}_1)$, we apply Lemma 4.4 to the estimates (4.22) with $(p, \widetilde{p}) = (p_0, \widetilde{p}_0)$, $(p_1, \widetilde{p}_1)$. Then it follows that if $0 < \theta < 1$

$$B_k : (L_t^2 \mathfrak{L}_r^{p_0'}, L_t^2 \mathfrak{L}_r^{p_1'})_{\theta,1} \times (L_t^2 \mathfrak{L}_r^{\widetilde{p}_0'}, L_t^2 \mathfrak{L}_r^{\widetilde{p}_1'})_{\theta,1} \to (\ell_\infty^{\beta(p_0,\widetilde{p}_0)}, \ell_\infty^{\beta(p_1,\widetilde{p}_1)})_{\theta,1}$$

with bound $C\lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1-\theta}{p_0}+\frac{\theta}{p_1})-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1-\theta}{\widetilde{p_0}'}+\frac{\theta}{\widetilde{p_1}'})}2^{k(\frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac{1-\theta}{p_0}+\frac{\theta}{p_1})-\frac{2n-3}{4})}$. Here $\mathfrak{L}_r^{p,r}$ is the Lorentz space defined with measure $r^{n-1}dr$. Since there are plenty of choices of $(p_0,\widetilde{p}_0)$ and $(p_1,\widetilde{p}_1)$, by a proper choice $(p_0,\widetilde{p}_0)$, and by (4.19), (4.20), we have for $2<p,\widetilde{p}<\infty$

$$B_k : L_t^2\mathfrak{L}_r^{p',1}\times L_t^2\mathfrak{L}_r^{\widetilde{p}',1}\to \ell_1^{\beta(p,\widetilde{p})}$$

$C\lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2p}-\frac{1}{2\widetilde{p}'}}2^{k(\frac{2n-1}{2}\frac{1}{p}-\frac{2n-3}{4})}$. In particular, for $2<p,\widetilde{p}<\infty$ and $\frac{2n-3}{2}-\frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{\widetilde{p}})=0$ ($\beta(p,\widetilde{p})=0$) we have

$$|\sum_{R,R'\geq 5\nu^{\frac{8}{5}};\frac{R}{R'}=2^k}\langle S_R^*H,S_{R'}^*G\rangle|\leq C\lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2p}-\frac{1}{2\widetilde{p}'}}2^{k(\frac{2n-1}{2}\frac{1}{p}-\frac{2n-3}{4})}\|H\|_{L_t^2\mathfrak{L}_r^{p',1}}\|G\|_{L_t^2\mathfrak{L}_r^{\widetilde{p}',1}}.$$

Now we can interpolate these estimate by applying Lemma 4.4 again to the bilinear operator

$$(H,G)\to\sum_{R,R'\geq 5\nu^{\frac{8}{5}};\frac{R}{R'}=2^k}\langle S_R^*H,S_{R'}^*G\rangle.$$

This time we choose $a=\infty$ and $b=c=2$ and use (4.20) to get

$$|\sum_{R,R'\geq 5\nu^{\frac{8}{5}};\frac{R}{R'}=2^k}\langle S_R^*H,S_{R'}^*G\rangle|\leq C\lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2p}-\frac{1}{2\widetilde{p}'}}2^{k(\frac{2n-1}{2}\frac{1}{p}-\frac{2n-3}{4})}\|H\|_{L_t^2\mathfrak{L}_r^{p',2}}\|G\|_{L_t^2\mathfrak{L}_r^{\widetilde{p}',2}} \tag{4.23}$$

provided that $2<p,\widetilde{p}<\infty$ and $\frac{2n-3}{2}-\frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{\widetilde{p}})=0$. Taking $p=\widetilde{p}$ ($=\frac{2(2n-1)}{2n-3}$), we get the desired (4.18) since $\frac{2(2n-1)}{2n-3}\geq 2$.

4.4. **Weak type bounds for radial functions for** $(p,q)=(\frac{2(2n-1)}{2n-3},2)$**: Proof of** (1.8)**.** From (4.23) we see that the bilinear operator

$$(H,G)\to\Big\{\sum_{R,R'\geq 5\nu^{\frac{8}{5}};\frac{R}{R'}=2^k}\langle S_R^*H,S_{R'}^*G\rangle\Big\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$$

is bounded from $L_t^2\mathfrak{L}_r^{p'}\times L_t^2\mathfrak{L}_r^{\widetilde{p}'}$ to $\ell_\infty^{\frac{2n-3}{4}-\frac{2n-1}{2}\frac{1}{p}}$ with bounds $\lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2p}-\frac{1}{2\widetilde{p}'}}$ provided that $2<p,\widetilde{p}<\infty$ and $\frac{2n-3}{2}-\frac{2n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{\widetilde{p}})=0$. We can interpolate these estimates using Lemma 4.4. Then by (4.19), and (4.20), in particular we get

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\Big|\Big(\sum_{R,R'\geq 5\nu^{\frac{8}{5}};\frac{R}{R'}=2^k}\langle S_R^*H,S_{R'}^*G\rangle\Big)\Big|\leq C\lambda_0^{\frac{2n-3}{(4n-2)}-\frac{1}{2}}\|H\|_{L_t^2\mathfrak{L}_r^{\frac{2(2n-1)}{2n+1},1}}\|G\|_{L_t^2\mathfrak{L}_r^{\frac{2(2n-1)}{2n+1},1}}.$$

By duality it gives the weak type bound

$$\|\sum_{R\geq 5\nu^{\frac{8}{5}}}S_Rh\|_{L_t^2\mathfrak{L}_r^{\frac{4n-2}{2n-3},\infty}}\leq C\lambda_0^{\frac{2n-3}{2(4n-2)}-\frac{1}{4}}\|h\|_2. \tag{4.24}$$

We now proceed to prove (1.8) for radial φ. From Littlewood-Paley theory and real interpolation we have $\| \sum_N P_N f\|_{L^{p,\infty}_x} \le C\|(\sum_N |P_N f|^2)^{\frac12}\|_{L^{p,\infty}_x}$ for $1 < p < \infty$. If $p > 2$ and $q \ge 2$, using this and Minkowski's inequality, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\|e^{-it\omega(|\nabla|)}\varphi\|_{L^q_t L^{p,\infty}_x} &\lesssim \Big\|\Big\|(\sum_N |P_N e^{-it\omega(|\nabla|)}\varphi|^2)^{\frac12}\Big\|_{L^{p,\infty}_x}\Big\|_{L^q_t} \\ &\le \Big(\sum_N \|e^{-it\omega(|\nabla|)}P_N\varphi\|^2_{L^q_t L^{p,\infty}_x}\Big)^{\frac12}.\end{aligned}$$

Then by scaling (4.3), for (1.8) it is enough to show that

$$\|e^{-it\varpi(|\nabla|)}P_1\varphi\|_{\| L^2_t L_x^{\frac{4n-2}{2n-3},\infty}} \le C\lambda_0^{\frac{2n-3}{2(4n-2)}-\frac14}\|\varphi\|_{L^2}$$

where ϖ and λ_0 are given by (4.5). Note that $\|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}_x} = \|g\|_{\mathfrak{L}^{p,\infty}_r}$ when $f(x) = g(|x|)$. Hence by Plancherel's theorem and Fourier transform of radial function we are reduced to showing that

$$\|\mathcal{T}^{\frac{n-2}{2}}h\|_{L^2_t \mathfrak{L}_r^{\frac{4n-2}{2n-3},\infty}} \le C\lambda_0^{\frac{2n-3}{2(4n-2)}-\frac14}\|h\|_2.$$

(cf. Proof of Lemma 4.1). This follows from (4.8) and (4.24). Therefore we get (1.8).

4.5. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Theorem 1.2 can be proven similarly as Theorem 1.1. Once we have Lemma 3.4, we can routinely follow the arguments for the proof of Theorem 1.1. The only difference comes from the additional assumption (*iv*) (see (4.4) and (4.5)) by which we have $\lambda_0 \sim 1$ at (4.5). Hence we do not lose anything when applying Lemma 3.4 even though its bound is not sharp in λ_0. Then the remaining is almost identical with the proof of Theorem 1.1. We omit the details.

4.6. **Remark for wave equation.** In [30], the estimate (1.3) was proven for $\omega(\rho) = \rho$, $s = n(\frac12 - \frac1p) - \frac1q$, $\alpha > \frac2q - (n-1)(\frac12 - \frac1p)$ provided that

$$\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac12 - \frac1p) \le \frac1q < (n-1)(\frac12 - \frac1p). \tag{4.25}$$

It was shown by Knapp's example that the estimate fails if $\frac1q > (n-1)(\frac12 - \frac1p)$. The example in [30] also shows that $\alpha \ge \frac2q - (n-1)(\frac12 - \frac1p)$ is necessary for (1.3).

Let us set

$$\mathcal{W}^\nu h(t,r) = r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\int e^{-it\rho}J_\nu(r\rho)\rho^{\frac n2}\beta(\rho)h(\rho)d\rho.$$

Similarly as before, it is easy to see that $\|\chi_{\{r<R_0\}}\mathcal{W}^\nu h\|_{L^q_t\mathfrak{L}^p_r} \le C\|h\|_2$. (See (4.8).) Hence, by (3.28) it follows that for $2 \le p, q \le \infty$ and $\frac{1}{q} < (n-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})$

$$\|\mathcal{W}^\nu h\|_{L^q_t\mathfrak{L}^p_r} \le C\|h\|_2. \tag{4.26}$$

Using Lemma 4.3, it is also possible to get some weak type estimates for $\mathcal{W}^\nu$ along the sharp line $\frac{1}{q} = (n-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})$ but the strong endpoint estimates are not possible by the method in Section 4.3 because $\omega'' = 0$. By the argument for the proof of Lemma 4.1, the following is easy to see.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\omega(\rho) = \rho$ and $2 \le p, q \le \infty$, $\gamma \ge 0$. Suppose that* (4.26) *holds for $\nu = \nu(k) = \frac{n-2+2k}{2}$, $k \ge 0$. Then the solution u to* (1.1) *satisfies* (1.3) *with $s = n(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r}) - \frac{1}{q}$ provided that $\alpha = (n-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})$ when $p > \infty$ and $\alpha > (n-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})$ when $p = \infty$.*

Hence we get (1.3) for $2 \le p, q \le \infty$ and $\frac{1}{q} < (n-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})$ provided $\sigma \ge (n-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})$. Now note $(n-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}) = \frac{2}{q} - (n-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})$ if $\frac{1}{q} = (n-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})$. Hence interpolating these estimates with the usual Strichartz estimates for the wave equation (along the sharp line $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})$) recovers the aforementioned results in [30]. This also shows that if one obtains (4.26) on the sharp line $\frac{1}{q} = (n-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})$, then the optimal angular regularity ($\alpha = \frac{2}{q} - (n-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})$) for (1.3) also follows.

REFERENCES

[1] J. A. Barcelo and A. Cordoba, *Band-limited functions: L^p-convergence*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 312 (1989), 1–15.

[2] J. A. Barcelo, A. Ruiz and L. Vega, *Weighted estimates for the Helmholtz equation and some applications*, J. Func. Anal. 150 (1997), 356-382.

[3] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation spaces. An introduction,* Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, No. 223. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.

[4] J. Bourgain, *Estimations de certaines fonctions maximales,* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 301 (1985), no. 10, 499–502.

[5] T. Cazenave, *Semilinear Schrodinger Equations,* Courant Lecture notes in Mathematics, 10. Amer. Math. Soc., 2003

[6] A. Carbery, A. Seeger, S. Wainger and J. Wright, *Classes of singular integral operators along variable lines,* J. Geom. Anal. 9 (1999), no. 4, 583–605.

[7] Y. Cho, S. Lee and T. Ozawa, *On Hartree equations with derivatives*, Nonlinear Analysis TMA 74 (2011), 2098-2108.

[8] Y. Cho and K. Nakanishi, *On the global existence of semirelativistic Hartree equations*, RIMS Kokyuroku Bessatsu B22 (2010), 145-166.

[9] Y. Cho and T. Ozawa, *On the semi-relativistic Hartree type equation*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 38 (2006), 1060-1074.

[10] ———, *On small amplitude solutions to the generalized Boussinesq equations*, DCDS-A 17 (2007), 691-711.

[11] Y. Cho, T. Ozawa, H. Sasaki and Y. Shim, *Remarks on the semirelativistic Hartree equations*, DCDS-A 23 (2009), 1273-1290.

[12] Y. Cho, T. Ozawa and S. Xia, *Remarks on some dispersive estimates*, Comm. Pure Appl. Anal. 10 (2011), 1121-1128.

[13] M. Christ and A. Kiselev, *Maximal functions associated to filtrations*, J. Func. Anal. 179 (2001), 409-425.

[14] D. Fang and C. Wang, *Weighted Strichartz estimates with angular regularity and their applications*, Forum Math. 23 (2011), No. 1, 181-205.

[15] J. Frölich and E. Lenzmann, *Mean-Field limit of quantum Bose gases and nonlinear Hartree equation*, Semin. Equ. Deriv. Partielles, Exp. no. XIX, 26pp. Ecole Polytechnique Palaiseau (2004).

[16] J. Ginibre and G. Velo, *On the global Cauchy problem for some nonlinear Schrodinger equations,* Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 1 (1984), 309-323

[17] ———, *Generalized Strichartz inequalities for the wave equation*, J. Funct. Anal. 133 (1995), 50.68.

[18] Z. Guo and Y. Wang, *Improved Strichartz estimates for a class of dispersive equations in the radial case and their applications to nonlinear Schrödinger and wave equations*, arXiv:1007.4299.

[19] S. Gustafson, K. Nakanishi and T.-P. Tsai, *Scattering for the Gross-Pitaevskii equation*, Math. Research Letters, 13 (2006), 273–285.

[20] M. Keel and T. Tao, *Endpoint Strichartz estimates*, Amer. J. Math. 120 (1998), 955-980.

[21] J.-C. Jiang, C. Wang and X. Yu, *Generalized and weighted Strichartz estimates*, in preprint.

[22] N. Laskin, *Fractional quantum mechanics*, Phys. R. E 62 (2002), 3135-3145.

[23] N. N. Lebedev, *Special functions and their applications.* New York, Dover Publications, INC, 1972.

[24] S. Lee and I. Seo, *Sharp bounds for multiplier operators of negative indices associated with degenerate curves*, Math. Z. 267 (2011), 291-323.

[25] S. Shao, *Sharp linear and bilinear restriction estimate for paraboloids in the cylindrically symmetric case*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 25 (2009), no. 2, 1127-1168.

[26] P. Sjölin, *Regularity of solutions to the Schrödinger equation,* Duke Math. J. 55 (1987), 699-715.

[27] C. D. Sogge, *Lectures on nonlinear wave equations,* Second edition, International Press, Boston, MA, 2008.

[28] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis:Real-Varialble Methods, Orthogonality,and Oscillatory Integrals,* Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1993.

[29] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1971.

[30] J. Sterbenz, *Angular regularity and Strichartz estimates for the wave equation*, With an appendix by Igor Rodnianski, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2005 No.4, 187-231.

[31] R. Strichartz, *Restriction of Fourier transform to quadratic surfaces and decay of solutions to the wave equation,* Duke Math J. 44 (1977), no. 3, 705-714.

[32] T. Tao, *Spherically averaged endpoint Strichartz estimates for the two-dimensional Schrödinger equation,* Commun. Partial Differential Equations 25 (2000), 1471-1485.

[33] T. Tao, *Nonlinear dispersive equations, Local and global analysis*, CBMS 106, eds: AMS, 2006.

[34] H. Triebel, *Interpolation theory, function spaces, differential operators,* North-Holland Mathematical Library, 18. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1978.

[35] M. Vilela, *Regularity of solutions to the free Schroödinger equation with radial initial data,* Illinois J. Math. 45 (2001), 361-370.

[36] G. Watson, *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*, Reprint of the second (1944) edition. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

Department of Mathematics, and Institute of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Chonbuk National University, Jeonju 561-756, Republic of Korea

E-mail address: `changocho@jbnu.ac.kr`

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-747, Republic of Korea

E-mail address: `shklee@snu.ac.kr`